

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/840,046
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Q63899

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Patent Office for acknowledging Applicant's claim to foreign priority, and for indicating that the certified copy of the priority document, European Patent Application No. 00401154.0 dated April 26, 2000, has been made of record in the file.

Applicant thanks the Patent Office for initialing the references listed on the PTO-1449 form submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement filed on April 24, 2001, thereby confirming that the listed references have been considered.

Claims 1-6 have been examined on their merits.

Applicant herein adds new claims 7-11. Support for new claims 7-11 can be found in the originally filed specification and claims. Entry and consideration of the new claims 7-11 is respectfully requested.

The Patent Office objects to claim 5 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Applicant thanks the Patent Office for indicating that claim 5 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 1-11 are all the claims presently pending in the application.

1. Claims 3 and 6 stand objected to by the Patent Office as containing informalities. Applicant herein amends claims 3 and 6 to depend from claim 2, instead of claim 1. Applicant submits that the objection to claims 3 and 6 has been overcome, and respectfully requests withdrawal of same.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/840,046
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Q63899

2. Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 (2nd para.) as allegedly being indefinite. Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 1-6 for at least the reasons discussed below.

Applicant herein amends claims 1-6 to remove reference callouts, “characterized” language and suggested numerical values. The amendments to claims 1-6 were not made for reasons of patentability, do not narrow the literal scope of the claims and do not implicate an estoppel in the application of the doctrine of equivalents. Applicant submits that the § 112 (2nd para.) rejection of claims 1-6 has been overcome, and respectfully requests withdrawal of same.

3. Claims 1-4 and 6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Moroney *et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,054,067) in view of Applicant’s Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Applicant traverses the rejection of claims 1-4 and 6, and insofar as the rejection might apply to new claims 7-12, for at least the reasons discussed below.

The burden of establishing that a claimed invention is *prima facie* obvious rests on the USPTO. *In re Piasecki*, 745 F.2d 1468, 1472, 223 U.S.P.Q. 785, 788 (Fed. Cir. 1984). To make its *prima facie* case of obviousness, the USPTO must satisfy three requirements:

- a) The prior art relied upon, coupled with the knowledge generally available in the art at the time of the invention, must contain some suggestion or incentive that would have motivated the artisan to modify a reference or to combine references. *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 1074, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1598 (Fed. Cir. 1988).
- b) The proposed modification of the prior art must have had a reasonable expectation of success, as determined from the vantage point of the artisan at the time the invention

was made. *Amgen, Inc. v. Chugai Pharm. Co.*, 927 F.2d 1200, 1208, 18 U.S.P.Q.2d 1016, 1022-23 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

- c) The prior art reference or combination of references must teach or suggest all the limitations of the claims. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 493, 20 U.S.P.Q.2d 1438, 1442 (Fed. Cir. 1991); *In re Wilson*, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 165 U.S.P.Q. 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

The motivation, suggestion or teaching may come explicitly from statements in the prior art, the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art, or, the nature of a problem to be solved. *In re Dembiczak*, 175 F.3d 994, 999, 50 U.S.P.Q.2d 1614, 1617 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Alternatively, the motivation may be implicit from the prior art as a whole, rather than expressly stated. *Id.* Regardless of whether the USPTO relies on an express or an implicit showing of motivation, the USPTO is obligated to provide particular findings related to its conclusion, and those findings must be clear and particular. *Id.* A broad conclusionary statement, standing alone without support, is not “evidence.” *Id.*; *see also, In re Zurko*, 258 F.3d 1379, 1386, 59 U.S.P.Q.2d 1693, 1697-98 (Fed. Cir. 2001).

In addition, a rejection cannot be predicated on the mere identification of individual components of claimed limitations. *In re Kotzab*, 217 F.3d 1365, 1371, 55 U.S.P.Q.2d 1313, 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2000). Rather, particular findings must be made as to the reason the skilled artisan, with no knowledge of the claimed invention, would have selected these components for combination in the manner claimed. *Id.* Even when obviousness is based on a single prior art reference, there must be a showing of a suggestion or motivation to modify the teachings of that

reference. *In re Kotzab*, 217 F.3d 1365, 1370, 55 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1316-1317 (citing *B.F. Goodrich Co. v. Aircraft Braking Sys. Corp.*, 72 F.3d 1577, 1582, 37 U.S.P.Q.2d 1314, 1318 (Fed. Cir. 1996)); *see also*, *Ex parte Clapp*, 227 U.S.P.Q. 972, 973 (B. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985)) (“To support the conclusion that the claimed invention is directed to obvious subject matter, either the references must expressly or impliedly suggest the claimed invention or the examiner must present a convincing line of reasoning as to why the artisan would have found the claimed invention to have been obvious in light of the teachings of the references.”).

The combination of Moroney *et al.* and AAPA fails to teach or suggest at least using an extracted bit string having a length greater than one to create a multi-carrier data symbol, as recited in claim 1. For example, Moroney *et al.* disclose, *inter alia*, that a duration indication Y and a frequency indication n are used by a frequency indication counter (50) to extract a bit from the keystream on every n-th count. *See, e.g.*, col. 3, lines 57-60 of Moroney *et al.* Even if one considers the bits that are not captured by the byte register (54) to be part of the psuedo-random bit string (*i.e.*, N') that is delineated for creating a multi-carrier data symbol, the combination of Moroney *et al.* and AAPA only discloses using a single bit (*i.e.*, N=1) from that bit string for symbol creation. The use of consecutive bits with the delineated bit string is not taught or suggested. Thus, Applicant submits that the Patent Office cannot fulfill the “all limitations” prong of a *prima facie* case of obviousness, as required by *In re Vaeck*.

Applicant submits that one of skill in the art would not be motivated to combine Moroney *et al.* with the AAPA. Both the AAPA and Moroney *et al.* lack any teaching about the desirability of using consecutive bits within a bit string for the generation of data symbols, as

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/840,046
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Q63899

discussed above. Applicants submit that the Patent Office cannot fulfill the motivation prong of a *prima facie* case of obviousness, as required by *In re Dembiczak* and *In re Zurko*.

Based on the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the combination of AAPA and Moroney *et al.* fails to disclose all of the claimed elements as arranged in claim 1. Thus, Applicants submit that claim 1 is allowable, and further submit that new claim 7 is allowable as well, at least by virtue of its dependency from claim 1. Applicant respectfully requests that the Patent Office withdraw the § 103(a) rejection of claim 1.

With respect to independent claim 2, Applicant submits that claim 2 is allowable for at least reasons analogous to those discussed above with respect to claim 1, in that the combination of Moroney *et al.* and AAPA lacks any teaching or suggestion regarding the use of consecutive bits within a bit string for the generation of data symbols. Therefore, Applicant submits that claim 2 is allowable, and further submits that claims 3, 4 and 6 are allowable as well, at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 2. Applicants respectfully request that the Patent Office withdraw the § 103(a) rejection of claims 2-4 and 6.


With respect to new independent claim 8, Applicant submits that claim 8 is allowable for at least reasons analogous to those discussed above with respect to claim 1, in that the combination of Moroney *et al.* and AAPA lacks any teaching or suggestion regarding the use of consecutive bits within a bit string for the generation of data symbols. Therefore, Applicant submits that new claim 8 is allowable, and further submits that new dependent claims 9-12 are allowable as well, at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 8.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
U.S. APPLN. NO. 09/840,046
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Q63899

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,


Paul J. Wilson
Registration No. 45,879

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373


CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: December 1, 2004

**METHOD TO GENERATE A PSEUDO-RANDOM PSEUDO-RANDOM
SEQUENCE OF MULTI-CARRIER MULTI-CARRIER DATA SYMBOLS,
AND RELATED TRANSMITTER AND RECEIVER**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0001] The present invention relates to a method to generate a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols by producing a pseudo-random bit sequence by repetitively generating a pseudo-random sequence of L bits, L being a first integer value and packetizing into multi-carrier data symbols thereby using N bits of the pseudo-random bit sequence per multi-carrier data symbol, N being a second integer number, to thereby generate the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols~~as defined in the non-characteristic part of claim 1, a generator of a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols comprising scrambling means, adapted to repetitively generate a pseudo-random sequence of L bits, L being a first integer value, to thereby produce a pseudo-random bit sequence and packetizing means, adapted to packetize into multi-carrier data symbols using N bits of said pseudo-random bit sequence per multi-carrier data symbol, N being a second integer number, to thereby generate the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols~~~~able to apply the method as defined in the non-characteristic part of claim 2, a multi-carrier transmitter including such a pseudo-random sequence generator and transmitting means coupled to the pseudo-random sequence generator, and adapted to transmit a pseudo-random~~



sequence of multi-carrier symbols generated by the pseudo-random sequence generator over a communication channel, and a multi-carrier receiver including such a pseudo-random sequence generator and receiving means adapted to receive a first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols transmitted over a communication channel, and decoding means, coupled to said receiving means and to the pseudo-random sequence generator, and adapted to decode the first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols and a second pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols generated by the pseudo-random sequence generator as defined in the non-characteristic parts of claim 3 and claim 6 respectively.

[0002] Methods ~~Such a method~~ and equipment to generate a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols are already known in the art, e.g., from the *ADSL Standard Specification 'Network and Customer Installation Interfaces - Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) Metallic Interface'*, published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in 1998 and referred to by ANSI T1E1.413 Issue 2. According to this Standard Specification, the ADSL line termination at the central office generates a pseudo-random sequence of 16,384 DMT (Discrete Multi Tone) data symbols, each comprising 512 bits. The pseudo-random sequence of DMT data symbols, named C-MEDLEY in paragraph 9.6.6 of the just cited Standard Specification, is derived from a pseudo-random sequence of 511 bits generated repetitively by a scrambler in the ADSL line

termination. The pseudo-random sequence of DMT data symbols, C-MEDLEY, is sent over a twisted pair telephone line towards the ADSL network termination at the customer premises and is used therein for downstream channel analysis. In a similar way, the ADSL network termination at the customer premises produces a pseudo-random sequence of 16,384 DMT data symbols each having a length of 64 bits, named R-MEDLEY in paragraph 9.7.8 of the above cited Standard Specification, and sends this pseudo-random sequence of DMT data symbols over the twisted pair telephone line towards the ADSL line termination at the central office for upstream channel analysis. The pseudo-random sequence of DMT data symbols, R-MEDLEY, is derived from a 63-bit long pseudo-random sequence of bits that is repetitively generated by a scrambler in the ADSL network termination.

[0003] In applications such as VDSL (Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line), wherein the number of bits per multi-carrier data symbol, that will be named N throughout the remainder of this patent application, may have different values, two problems can occur in case the known technique is applied: the randomness of the sequence of multi-carrier data symbols may decrease significantly and/or the length of the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols may become short in comparison with the longest achievable pseudo-random sequence that contains L multi-carrier data symbols, L being the number of bits in the repetitively generated pseudo-random sequence of bits generated by the scrambler. Indeed, the length of the pseudo-random sequence of

multi-carrier data symbols becomes short in case this number of bits per multi-carrier data symbol, N , relates in a certain way to the number of bits, L , in the pseudo-random sequence of bits that is repetitively generated by the scrambler, e.g., $a.N = b.L$ with a and b being integer values respectively smaller than L and N . The number of bits in the repetitively generated pseudo-random sequence of bits, L , is typically equal to $2^S - 1$ if the scrambler is implemented by a finite state machine and S represents the number of states of this finite state machine. Suppose, for instance, that S equals 9 and consequently that L equals $2^9 - 1 = 511$. If each multi-carrier data symbol has a length N of 1022 bits, then, because $N = 2.L$, each multi-carrier data symbol in the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols will consist of exactly the same pseudo-random sequence of 1022 bits. In this situation, the length of the pseudo-random sequence is only 1 multi-carrier data symbols, which means that there is in fact no randomness. In case other relations are satisfied between N and L , e.g., $N=L-1$ or $N=L+1$, some randomness is lost. This is so because the bits in the pseudo-random sequence are typically used pairwise to apply random rotations to the different carriers. In the case of $N=L-1$ or $N=L+1$, the pair of bits that defines the random rotation that will be applied to a single carrier can only differ in one bit between two successive multi-carrier data symbols, thus reducing the randomness of the rotations that are applied. When applied in a VDSL system, the known method may thus generate a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols with decreased

randomness or which is rather short whereas a long random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols is required in order to be able to analyze ~~analyse~~ the channel - in ADSL channel analysis involves SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) estimation - accurately.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0004] _____ An aspect ~~object~~ of the present invention is to provide a method and equipment to generate a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols similar to the known one, but wherein irrespective of the relation between N , the number of bits per multi-carrier data symbol, and L , the number of bits in the repetitively generated pseudo-random sequence of bits where the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols is derived from, a high randomness is achieved.

[0005] _____ According to the present invention, this aspect is realized in a ~~object~~ ~~is realised by the~~ method to generate a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols by producing a pseudo-random bit sequence by repetitively generating a pseudo-random sequence of L bits, L being a first integer value and packetizing into multi-carrier data symbols thereby using N bits of the pseudo-random bit sequence per multi-carrier data symbol, N being a second integer number, to thereby generate the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols, a generator of a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols comprising scrambling means, adapted to repetitively generate a pseudo-random

sequence of L bits, L being a first integer value, to thereby produce a pseudo-random bit sequence and packetizing means, adapted to packetize into multi-carrier data symbols using N bits of said pseudo-random bit sequence per multi-carrier data symbol, N being a second integer number, to thereby generate the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols, a multi-carrier transmitter including a pseudo-random sequence generator and transmitting means coupled to the pseudo-random sequence generator, and adapted to transmit a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols generated by the pseudo-random sequence generator over a communication channel, and a multi-carrier receiver including a pseudo-random sequence generator and receiving means adapted to receive a first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols transmitted over a communication channel, and decoding means, coupled to said receiving means and to the pseudo-random sequence generator, and adapted to decode the first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols and a second pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier symbols generated by the pseudo-random sequence generator~~defined by claim 1, the generator of a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols able to apply the method defined by claim 2, the multi-carrier transmitter including such a generator and the multi-carrier receiver including such a generator defined by claim 3 and claim 6 respectively.~~

[0006] _____ Indeed, by subdividing the pseudo-random bit sequence at the output of the scrambler in strings of N' bits, N' being an integer larger than N, and by

using only N bits out of each string of N' bits to constitute multi-carrier data symbols, a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols with higher randomness is generated. If, for instance, in the above described system with $L=511$ and $N=1022$, strings with a length of $N'=1024$ bits would be created, whereof only the first 1022 bits would be used in a multi-carrier symbol whilst the remaining bit would be left unused, a random sequence with the maximum possible length of 511 multi-carrier data symbols would be generated.

[0007] ~~An~~ It is remarked that a straightforward alternative solution for the problem of decreased randomness in case N and L relate to each other in certain ways, is to increase L, the number of bits in the repetitively generated pseudo-random data sequence of bits. If L , however, is chosen high, the scrambler is not optimized ~~optimised~~ in terms of PAR (Peak to Average Ratio) so that this ~~straightforward~~ solution is not preferred in multi-carrier transmission systems wherein PAR reduction is a major concern.

[0008] It is to be noticed that the term 'comprising', ~~used in the claims,~~ should not be interpreted as being limitative to the means listed thereafter. Thus, the scope of the expression 'a device comprising means A and B' should not be limited to devices consisting only of components A and B. It means that with respect to the present invention, the only relevant components of the device are A and B.

[0009] Similarly, it is to be noticed that the term 'coupled', ~~also used in the~~
~~claims,~~ should not be interpreted as being limitative to direct connections only. Thus,
the scope of the expression 'a device A coupled to a device B' should not be limited
to devices or systems wherein an output of device A is directly connected to an input
of device B. It means that there exists a path between an output of A and an input of
B which may be a path including other devices or means.

[0010] An additional, optional feature of the multi-carrier transmitter are
selection means, adapted to select a third integer value N', and communication
means coupled to the selection means, and adapted to communicate the third
integer value N' to a multi-carrier receiver~~according to the present invention is~~
~~defined by claim 4.~~

[0011] Thus, in case the length N' of the bit strings is not predefined as a
function of L and N (one can imagine that a predefined table known by at the
transmitter and receiver sides could associate a value N' with each pair of values
N and L), the length N' of the bit strings may be selected in the multi-carrier
transmitter wherein the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols is
generated. Because the value N' also has to be known at the receiver side in order
to be able to generate the same pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data
symbols there and to perform the channel analysis, the value N' selected by the
transmitter has to be communicated to the receiver. A ~~There to a standard~~
specified field or message may be used. It is evident that the value N'

alternatively may be selected in the receiver and may be communicated from the receiver to the transmitter. More specifically, in the preferred embodiment, the value N' is calculated at the line termination or central office side because the spectral plan is known there and N is known there (N is derived from the spectral plan and typically equals twice the number of carriers, either downstream carriers or upstream carriers). This means that the value N' is determined at the transmitter side for the downstream direction and at the receiver side for the upstream direction, and obviously may have different values for the downstream and upstream directions.

[0012] Another optional feature of the multi-carrier transmitter is the selection means is adapted to select said third integer value N' so that N' differs from $L-1$, so that N' differs from $L+1$, and so that N' is not fractionally related to L according to the present invention is defined by claim 5.

[0013] By ~~Indeed, by~~ selecting N' so that N' differs from $L-1$ and from $L+1$, a high randomness between successive multi-carrier data symbols is achieved. Moreover, by selecting N' so that it is not fractionally related to L , a long random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols is obtained.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0014]_____ The above mentioned and other objects and features of the invention will become more apparent and the invention itself will be best understood by referring to the following description of an embodiment taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings wherein:

[0015]_____ Fig. 1 is a functional block scheme of a VDSL (Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line) system including an embodiment of the multi-carrier transmitter MC-TX according to the present invention and a first embodiment of the multi-carrier receiver MC-RX according to the present invention; and

[0016]_____ Fig. 2 is a time diagram illustrating the subdivision of the pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 generated by the scrambler SCR1 in the multi-carrier transmitter MC-TX of Fig. 1 into 9 bit long strings and the generation of 8 bit long multi-carrier data symbols DMT0, DMT1, DMT2 from these bit strings.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0017]_____ The VDSL (Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line) system drawn in Fig. 1 is comprised ~~consists~~ of two VDSL transceivers communicating with each other via a twisted pair telephone line CHANNEL. The VDSL transceiver located at the central office contains a transmitting part and a receiving part whereof only the transmitting part MC-TX is drawn. This VDSL transmitter MC-TX includes transmitting circuitry TX, a first pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN1, a selector SEL and communicating circuitry COM. The first pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN1 contains the cascade connection of a first

scrambler SCR1, a first divider DIV1, and a first embedder EMB1 and is coupled via its output terminal to an input terminal of the transmitting circuitry TX. The selector SEL has a first output terminal coupled to a control input of the first pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN1, and a second output terminal coupled to an input terminal of the communicating circuitry COM. An output terminal of the just mentioned communicating circuitry COM is coupled to an input terminal of the transmitting circuitry TX. The VDSL transceiver located at the customer premises also contains a transmitting part and a receiving part whereof only the receiving part MC-RX is drawn in Fig. 1. This VDSL receiver MC-RX contains a second pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN2, receiving circuitry RX, a decoder DECODER, and a signal to noise ratio estimating unit SNR. An output terminal of the receiving circuitry RX is coupled to a first input terminal of the decoder DECODER. The receiving circuitry RX further has a second output terminal coupled to a control terminal of the second pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN2. This second pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN2 includes the cascade connection of a second scrambler SCR2, a second divider DIV2, and a second embedder EMB2, and its output terminal is coupled to a second input terminal of the decoder DECODER. An output terminal of the decoder DECODER is connected to an input terminal of the signal to noise ratio estimating unit SNR.

[0018]_____ To transfer digital data over the telephone line CHANNEL, the VDSL transceiver at the central office and the one at the customer premises constitute DMT (Discrete Multi Tone) symbols. The VDSL transceivers thereto modulate the digital data on a set of carriers in accordance with a certain bit allocation scheme that is known at the central office and at the customer premises. The bit allocation scheme specifies which carriers are used, how many bits are modulated on each used carrier, and which modulation technique (4QAM, 8QAM, ...) is used to modulate the bits on the carriers. This bit allocation scheme is made up after channel analysis, a procedure executed at initialization ~~initialisation~~ of the VDSL system wherein the signal to noise ratio (SNR) for transfer of the different carriers from the central office to the customer premises or vice versa is estimated on the basis of a pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols that is sent over the line CHANNEL and analyzed ~~analysed~~ upon receipt. In the following paragraphs it is described in detail how this pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols is generated in the embodiment of the present invention illustrated by Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

[0019]_____ The first scrambler SCR1 repetitively generates a pseudo-random sequence of 4 bits, marked 0, 1, 2 ~~0,1,2~~ and 3 in Fig. 2. The repetitively generated pseudo-random sequence of 4 bits constitutes a first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 at the output of the first scrambler SCR1. This first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 has to be encapsulated in multi-carrier data symbols wherein 8

bits can be embedded to constitute a first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier multi-carrier data symbols PRMS1. These multi-carrier data symbols are referred to by DMT0, DMT1 and DMT2 in Fig. 2. Because the length N (8 bits) of the multi-carrier data symbols DMT0, DMT1 and DMT2[[,]] is twice the length L (4 bits) of the pseudo-random sequence of bits that is repetitively generated by the first scrambler SCR1, each multi-carrier data symbol would contain exactly the same pseudo-random sequence of 8 bits if the first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 would be encapsulated straightforwardly into the multi-carrier data symbols DMT0, DMT1 and DMT2. As a consequence, the randomness of the first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS1 would be inexistent. For this reason, the first divider DIV1 divides the first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 into strings of $N'=9$ bits. Only the first 8 bits of each string of 9 bits are embedded into a multi-carrier data symbol by the first embedder EMB1, whereas the ninth bit of each string at the output of the first divider DIV1 is not used by the first embedder EMB1. The division of the first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 into strings of 9 bits and the embedding into the multi-carrier data symbols DMT0, DMT1 and DMT2 is illustrated by Fig. 2. In this way, the first embedder EMB1 generates at its output the first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS1, that is transmitted by the transmitting circuitry TX over the telephone line CHANNEL to the VDSL receiver MC-RX at the customer premises. The length N' of the strings created by

the first divider DIV1, is chosen by the selector SEL and provided as a control signal to the first divider DIV1 in the first pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN1. In addition the selector SEL supplies the value $N'=9$ to the communicating circuitry COM which communicates this value N' via the transmitting circuitry TX to the VDSL receiver MC-RX at the customer premises. To communicate the value N' , this value may be embedded in a thereto ~~standardized~~ ~~standardised~~-field or message of the ~~initialization~~ ~~initialisation~~ protocol.

[0020] Upon receipt of the value $N'=9$ at the VDSL receiver MC-RX, the receiving circuitry RX supplies this value N' to the second divider DIV2 in the second pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN2. With this value N' , the second pseudo-random sequence generator PR-GEN2, i.e., the second scrambler SCR2, the second divider DIV2 and the second embedder EMB2, can generate a second pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS2 that is a copy of the first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS1. The second scrambler SCR2 thereto generates a second pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS2 that is a copy of the first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1. This is possible because the repetitively generated pseudo-random sequence of 4 bits, 0, 1, 2 and 3, that constitutes the first as well as the second pseudo-random bit sequence is predefined. The second divider DIV2 divides the second pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS2 into strings of $N'=9$ bits and the second embedder

EMB2 encapsulates the first eight bits of each string at the output of the second divider DIV2 into a multi-carrier data symbol and leaves the ninth bit in each multi-carrier data symbol unused.

[0021]_____The multi-carrier data symbols DMT0, DMT1 and DMT2 of the first pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS1, after transfer over the telephone line CHANNEL and receipt by the receiving circuitry RX (the signal at the output of the receiving circuitry is marked PRMS1' in Fig. 1 because it may differ from the first pseudo-random bit sequence PRBS1 e.g., as a result of noise/interference on the line CHANNEL) are supplied to the decoder DECODER, e.g., a Discrete Multi Tone decoder in case the multi-carrier data symbols are DMT symbols. Also, the second pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS2, locally generated, is supplied to the decoder DECODER. After being decoded, the contents of the multi-carrier data symbols in the pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS1' can be compared with the contents of the multi-carrier data symbols in the second pseudo-random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols PRMS2, so that the signal to noise ratio of the communication channel between the central office and the customer premises can be estimated. This is done by the signal to noise ratio estimating unit SNR.

[0022]_____A second embodiment of the present invention, not illustrated by any of the ~~Figures~~figures, differs from the above described first embodiment in that the bits of the pseudo-random bit sequence are not embedded or encapsulated in

multi-carrier data symbols but are used to apply a random rotation to the carriers constituting the multi-carrier data symbols. In this second embodiment, a scrambler again generates a pseudo-random bit sequence which is divided into strings of length N' by a divider. Only N bits out of each string are used to randomize ~~randomise~~ the phase of the carriers, whereas $N'-N$ bits out of each string are left unused. The bits, for example, may be used in pairs to randomly rotate the phase of the carriers. If the first two bits in a string of N' bits are 00, the phase of the first carrier for instance is left unrotated, if the first two bits are 01, the phase of the first carrier is rotated over 90 degrees, if the first two bits are 11, the phase of the first carrier is rotated over 180 degrees, and if the first two bits are 10 the phase of the first carrier is rotated over 270 degrees. In a similar way, the next two bits in this string of N' bits determine the rotation that will be applied to the second carrier, and so on. The phase rotation may be applied by an encoder coupled to the divider. At the receiver, a decoder will de-randomize ~~de-randomise~~ the phase of the carriers. In this second embodiment, wherein the bits are used two by two to rotate the phase of the carriers, N' is chosen to differ from $L-1$ and $L+1$ because otherwise, a decreased randomness would be experienced. N' further is also chosen not to be fractionally related to L , i.e. $a.N' \neq b.L$ with a and b integer values that are respectively smaller than L and N' , because such a choice of N' would significantly reduce the length of the random sequence of multi-carrier data symbols that could be generated.

[0023] ~~Although It is noticed that although~~ the example of DMT (Discrete Multi Tone) multi-carrier communication has been given above, applicability of the present invention is not restricted to any particular multi-carrier linecode. Also in Zipper-based multi-carrier systems, in OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing) based multi-carrier systems, or in other multi-carrier systems, the pseudo-random sequence used for channel analysis may be generated according to the present invention.

[0024] ~~The Another remark is that~~ applicability of the present invention is not limited to a particular channel analysis technique. Although the example of SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio) estimation is given above, other parameters indicative for the loop quality could be sensed or estimated on the basis of a pseudo-random sequence generated according to the present invention.

[0025] ~~The A further remark is that the~~ length $L=4$ bits of the repetitively generated pseudo-random sequence of bits, the length $N=8$ bits of the multi-carrier data symbols, and the length $N'=9$ bits of the strings were only given as an example. More realistic values for a VDSL (Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line) system for instance would be $L=2047$ bits, $N=2048$ bits and $N'=2050$ bits, but again the present invention is not restricted to any particular values or range of values of these parameters.

[0026] ~~Whereas~~ implementations of the present invention have been described above for the downstream direction, i.e., the direction from the central

office to the customer premises, of a VDSL (Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line) system, the invention evidently can also be implemented in the upstream direction to improve upstream channel analysis accuracy. Moreover, a person skilled in the art will appreciate that the current invention can be implemented in ~~as well uni-directional~~ as well as bi-directional systems.

[0027] ~~_____~~ As already indicated in the introductory part of this patent application, a value N' may be associated with each pair of N and L values through a standardized ~~standardised~~ table known at the transmitter and receiver side so that no selector circuitry is required and no message or field has to be reserved in the initialization ~~initialisation~~ protocol for communicating the value N' . An adequate value N' , resulting in a satisfactory accuracy of the SNR estimation, may alternatively be found on a trial and error basis, e.g., by repetitively increasing the value N' by 1, starting from N .

[0028] ~~_____~~ Furthermore, ~~the it is remarked that~~ application of the present invention is not only attractive in situations wherein $N=2L$ and wherein all multi-carrier data symbols would carry exactly the same pseudo-random sequence of bits if the pseudo-random sequence of bits would not be divided in strings and part of the strings would not be left unused. Whenever N and L relate to each other so that the randomness decreases significantly, e.g. if $L=N\pm 1$ or $a.N=b.L$ with a and b being integer numbers respectively smaller than L and N , an appropriate value for N' may be selected and used to create pseudo-random

strings that are not fully encapsulated in multi-carrier data symbols. The criteria under which the present invention is applied may be service dependent.

[0029] Although reference was made above to VDSL (Very High Speed Digital Subscriber Line) technology used for transmission over twisted pair telephone lines, any skilled person will appreciate that the present invention also can be applied in other DSL (Digital Subscriber Line) systems such as ADSL (Asynchronous Digital Subscriber Line), SDSL (Synchronous Digital Subscriber Line) systems, HDSL (High Speed Digital Subscriber Line) systems, and the like or in a cable based, a fibre based or a radio based communication systems, provided that a multi-carrier linecode is used for transmission of data thereover.

[0030] Furthermore, ~~it is remarked that~~ an embodiment of the present invention is described above rather in terms of functional blocks. From the functional description of these blocks, it will be obvious for a person skilled in the art of designing electronic devices how embodiments of these blocks can be manufactured with well-known electronic components. A detailed architecture of the contents of the functional blocks hence is not given.

[0031] While the principles of the invention have been described above in connection with specific apparatus, it is to be clearly understood that this description is made only by way of example and not as a limitation on the scope of the claims.